

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/225,198	CHEYER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Lewis A. Bullock, Jr.	2126	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Allowed

 (1) Lewis A. Bullock, Jr.

(3) _____.

 (2) Corina Tan.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 2 September 2004
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

All

Claims discussed:

All

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In an informal interview, the examiner explained his position as disclosed in the ¹⁵after final response. Applicant and the examiner agreed upon more language in the claims with the prior language that would place the application in condition for allowance as disclosed in the Reasons for allowance. The examiner also explained to Applicant that the after final response is non-compliant in that it is not readable in later pages, and the all new language is not underlined. The examiner will correct this defect by Examiner's Amendment..